I am opposed to the notion of requiring my electronic hardware to be "approved" to receive or play electronic media I have obtained legally. This is similar to allowing oil companies to require you to use "approved cars" to burn their gasoline. If I pay for something, I should be allowed to view it on anything that can read it in, whether it be a store-bought piece of hardware or a software program I write myself in my spare time.

Here are some interesting quotes from an article on zdNet's website:

"There are a few problems with this argument. First, near-perfect copies of digital content are

already available on CDs and DVDs. Second, anyone with a pirate receiver that ignores the

broadcast flag can distribute the program freely: It only takes one person making a file available

on Kazaa for the file to leak permanently.

Third, depending on how the regulation is written, it could limit the public's ability to use the  $\ensuremath{\text{Spin}}$ 

broadcasts in legitimate, non-infringing ways. Fourth, as computers and TVs continue to

converge, this approach will inevitably lead to greater regulations imposed on  ${\tt PC}$  companies and

perhaps even operating system makers. "